

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/823,231		NELSON, BRUCE ARTHUR		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kyle R. Stork		2178		

All Participants:

(1) Kyle R. Stork.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) Kent Sieffert.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2006

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed an examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error on page 4 of the specification.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)